

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

(Public Intrest Litigation)

WRIT PETITON NO. 3330 /96

Rajkamal Singh, Advocate.
President, Progressive Advocates Front.
R/o Ompur Colony, Rajgamar, Korba, Dist. Bilaspur (M.P.)

VERSUS .... PETITIONER

1. Upendra Kumer, Chairman-cum Managing Director
South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Seepath Road
Bilaspur (M.P.)

2. Smt. Beena Kumer, President-Mahila Mandal, SECL
C/o C.M.D. SECL, Bilaspur (M.P.)

3. Govt. of Madhya Pradesh, through Chief Secretary
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

.... RESPONDENTS

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(25)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3730/96 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

DIVISION BENCH: -

HON'BLE MR. A.K. PATNAIK, CJ
& HON'BLE MR. SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

23/06/2005: -

None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay S. Agrawal, Govt. Advocate, for the State of Chhattisgarh.

This is a PIL filed by Mr. Raj Kamal Singh, Advocate, President, Progressive Advocate Front. It has been alleged in the petition that Mahila Mandal, SECL, is not a registered body and respondent No.2 is the wife of the respondent No.1, the Chairman-cum-Managing Director of South Eastern Coalfields Ltd. and that Mahila Mandal, SECL conducted a lottery in the month of February 1995 at Anand Mela in Hasdeo Area of SECL and also issued lottery coupons without obtaining prior permission from the Government. It is further alleged that through these coupons a lot of public funds were collected without any proper record of those collections and in the said Mela the Company's vehicles, other machinery and manpower were ruthlessly used being influenced by respondent No.1.

When the matter was called out today for hearing, the petitioner did not appear either in person or through counsel. The allegations relate to a lottery which is said to have taken place in the year 1995. Respondent No.1 must have also ceased to function as Chairman-cum-Managing Director, SECL. Moreover, the allegations are based on some newspaper reports and not on the personal knowledge of the petitioner. Hence, no useful purpose would be served in continuing this PIL and we accordingly dismiss the same.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

[पीछे देखिये

R90
16.9.05